

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**

No. 19-1796C

(E-filed: January 28, 2020)

---

AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. )  
THE UNITED STATES, )  
Defendant, )  
and )  
MICROSOFT CORP., )  
Intervenor-defendant. )

---

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

On January 15, 2020, the court entered a scheduling order to govern the briefing of four motions: (1) plaintiff's motion to supplement the administrative record and/or for discovery; (2) defendant's partial motion for dismissal; (3) intervenor-defendant's partial motion for dismissal; and (4) plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction (TRO/PI). See ECF No. 115. And on January 20, 2020, plaintiff filed an additional motion, seeking to complete the administrative record. See ECF No. 127. The court directed the parties to file a joint status report explaining the impact of the additional motion on the previously-set schedule. See ECF No. 131.

In response to the court's order, the parties filed a joint status report on January 27, 2020. See ECF No. 134. Therein, the parties note that, in briefing the motion to complete the administrative record, they will abide by the deadlines as set pursuant to this court's rules. See id. at 1. They do not request extensions of those deadlines.

The parties do, however seek an extension of the deadlines associated with plaintiff's motions for TRO/PI. See id. at 2. Plaintiff filed its motions on January 22,

2020. See ECF No. 130. Responses to the motions are presently due to be filed on or before January 29, 2020, but defendant and intervenor-defendant request two additional days to prepare their responses because of the “highly technical allegations” included in plaintiff’s motions. See ECF No. 134 at 2. Defendant proposes that two days be added to the response deadlines, the reply deadline, and the date by which the parties request the court issue its ruling. Id. Plaintiff does not object. Id. at 3.

Accordingly, for good cause shown, the court modifies the schedule for briefing plaintiff’s motions for TRO/PI, as follows:

**January 31, 2020** Defendant and defendant-intervenor shall **FILE** their **responses** to plaintiff’s motions for TRO/PI

**February 5, 2020** Plaintiff shall **FILE** its **reply** in support of its motions for TRO/PI

The court will endeavor to issue a ruling on the motions for TRO/PI by February 13, 2020. The court notes that, in order to facilitate this enlarged schedule, defendant has agreed “not to proceed with the issuance of substantive task orders on the Joint Enterprise Defense Infrastructure (JEDI) contract until February 14, 2020.” Id.

All other deadlines included in the court’s January 15, 2020 scheduling order, ECF No. 115, remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Patricia E. Campbell-Smith  
PATRICIA E. CAMPBELL-SMITH  
Judge